#### **PATENT**

#### ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CSHL.005.01US

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Roberto Malinow et al.	)
Serial No.: 09/353,126	) Examiner: Kunz, Gary L.
Filed: <b>July 14, 1999</b>	) Art Unit: 1647
Confirm No: 3018	) <u>COMMUNICATION</u>
For: DIAGNOSTIC METHODS FOR DRUG SCREENING FOR ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE	)

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

This is in response to the teleconference between the undersigned attorney and Examiner Kunz on February 26, 2003 concerning the status of the above-referenced patent application. Examiner Kunz has asked that we review our file as to the status of any outstanding rejections; he has advised that the application may be abandoned due to a failure by Examiner Turner to complete in a timely manner the necessary paperwork to maintain the active status of this application.

According to our file, the following is the chronology of events in the above-referenced patent application beginning with the final rejection:

May 9, 2001 Final Rejection.

July 25, 2001 Request for Withdrawl of Finality based on the fact that the Examiner had raised new grounds of rejection not necessitated by Applicants' amendment, mailed.

August 29, 2001 Telephonic conference between Jeffrey Libby and Examiner Turner, in

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING VIA FACSIMILE TO: (703) 308-4407
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile and is addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C.,

(Dated) Zo 200.

(Signature)

#### **PATENT**

which the Examiner advised that the finality of the Final Rejection would be withdrawn when we filed a response (copy of file notes attached)

September 4, 2001 Advisory Action, in which the Examiner stated (item 11) "Upon filing of a full and timely response to the outstanding action finality will be reconsidered and the amendment considered on the merits". The Examiner erroneously checked boxes 2, 6 and 8; Applicants had specifically noted on the Request filed July 25, 2001 that it was <u>not</u> a response to the official action (see last paragraph before CONCLUSION).

November 9, 2001 Response to Final Rejection filed with Request for Extension of Time, noting that the Examiner had indicated that finality would be withdrawn (see first paragraph, REMARKS) and therefore not including a Notice of Appeal.

January 11, 2002 Telephonic conference between Koren Anderson and Examiner Turner, in which the Examiner again advised that the Request for Withdrawal of finality of the Final Rejection had been granted (copy of file notes attached).

January 14, 2002 Interview Summary, in which the Examiner stated "Finality of the previous action is hereby withdrawn. The amendment filed 12-18-01 is under consideration and an Office Action on the merits will follow."

February 27, 2002 Change of Correspondence Address mailed.

July 31, 2002 Status Inquiry mailed.

Examiner Sharon L. Turner advised on several occasions, both orally and in writing (as noted above), that the finality of the May 9, 2001 office action had been withdrawn. Therefore, even if there are outstanding rejections, the overdue action would appear to be mailing of an official action by the USPTO, not entry of an Examiner's amendment to put the case in form for allowance. Should the case in fact technically be abandoned because the Examiner did not in fact withdraw finality, please advise in the Notice of Abandonment whether the Applicants in their Petition to Revive would therefore need to submit a Notice of Appeal and the requisite fees.

**PATENT** 

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CSHL.005.01US

Respectfully submitted,

Rae-Venter Law Group, P.C. P.O. Box 1898

Monterey, CA 93942-1898 Telephone: (831) 648-3090 Facsimile: (831) 242-0137

BRV/mnb

Barbara Rae-Venter, Ph.D.

Reg. No. 32,750

## RAE-VENTER LAW GROUP, P.C.

## TELEPHONE REPORT

DATE: Aug 20	(, 2001	TIME:
PERSON CALLED: Je	If Libby by S.L. Turner	
TITLE:	CXAMINAT	CALLER'S
COMPANY:	USPTO	Initials:
CLIENT/MATTER NUMBER	R: CSHL.005.01US	

Notes:
Ex called and informed us that she agreed
ex called and informed us that the agreed of our reguest to withdrawal holding of finishing of last of the last of will be withdrawn the finality of the last of will be withdrawn after we respond to the last OA. The Ex. plans
land office action on case New grounds for rejection.
of coality of the last OA will be withdrawn
the word I the last OA. The Ex. plans
to draft an Advision Action.
to arate an more
· ·

# RAE-VENTER LAW GROUP, P.C. TELEPHONE REPORT

DATE: /11-02	TIME:	2:20 pm
PERSON CALLED: Shawn Turner		
TIME Examiner	Caller's Initials:	
COMPANY: USPTU		
CLENT/MATTER NUMBER: CS H2 005.01 US		

Notes:
09/353,124
- ugnest to witnesson fracty has
- Will be recienning an OA in about
- Mailing Totrices Summany about
- Mailing Intrnew Summary about withdraw, should beceive in about
1 Well
- Date: